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Welcome to “We-Do” Writing. In this book, you will learn how to develop independent writers through an instructional model that shores up a common weak link in classrooms: collaborative writing practice. Young writers in Kindergarten to Grade 5 are asked to be amazing plate spinners, keeping many recently learned skills in their minds as they put pencils to paper. They attend to spelling, story structure, punctuation, connecting oral and written language, to name a few. In our quest to get students to become independent writers, we model each of these skills carefully, but we often gloss over the “We Do” phase of instruction. This book is devoted to slowing down that critical collaborative practice, so students get the scaffolding they need. Let’s begin!
Two Problems of Practice

Before we delve into this model together, I want to share the journey of how this book came to be. As a literacy consultant, I spend my days in schools with teachers and students. In this role, I am always trying to perfect the art of teaching. Because of this, I am always searching for problems to fix, so that children can learn to their full capacities. This book stems from two problems I have noticed and tried to solve.

Shaky Independence

The first problem was that not all students were benefiting equally from independent writing. Sure, some students used their independent writing time well. They were practicing many of the things that their teachers had taught them, and because of that they were growing as writers. Others were unable to transfer what they had learned or were not learning what they needed. I knew there had to be ways to ensure that all students had equitable access to becoming independent writers.

Competing Theories of Writing

The second problem I discovered: teachers were not only intimidated by teaching writing, they were paralyzed by the vast number of available choices, both in terms of resources and schools of thought. Many teachers have confided in me that even with the abundance of materials they have, they’re unsure about where and how to begin. Which advice do I take? Which do I ignore? How do I maintain the right balance of instruction? And the biggie: How do I meet the needs of diverse classrooms of students?
The Solution: Teach Writing with Simplicity

Teaching writing is rewarding, but it is not simple. Literacy expert Adria Klein said during her keynote at the 2020 National Reading Recovery Conference, “Literacy is complex and dynamic. Teachers make minute by minute decisions and all of these decisions are dependent upon who our students are—their current situations, their experiences and how all of this changes over time.” Adria’s words inform this book, inspiring me to answer the question: How do I help teachers teach writing in a manner that embraces the dynamic changes students present day-to-day, both in terms of strengths and needs?

The answer to both of these challenges lies, first of all, in getting on the same page with the idea that teaching writing is a complex task, and then committing to teaching writing with simplicity and a respect for supported practice.

A simpler approach to teaching writing will ensure that students get the appropriate amount and type of practice.
Just what makes teaching writing complex? In the early grades, teachers teach students that reading and writing are reciprocal processes, and that decoding and encoding are about making meaning. Along with that, children learn how to connect letters to sounds, and learn the conventions of written language.

But writing is, of course, so much more than that. As students progress through Grades K–5, they also need to understand:

- Genres of writing and their purposes
- The impact that background knowledge has on writing quality
- The role of sentence structure in writing and how to craft sentences into paragraphs, essays, stories, signs, and books that are focused, organized, elaborated upon, and that include thoughtful word choice
- The ways in which writers use the written language to enlighten and delight their readers
- How to bring a piece of writing through the writing process

I knew teachers needed a simple plan that would help them focus their instruction so that students would get the right amount and type of practice. So I began looking at the research, conducted action-based research on teaching writing, and engaged in the literacy conversations, which were all focused mostly on reading. Curiously, the debate raging about how to teach reading became, by far, the biggest influence on the model for writing that I present in this book.

**Finding Common Ground Between Reading and Writing Theories**

I can vividly recall being a new teacher in the 1990s and hearing about the so-called “reading wars.” Now, 20 years later, the skirmish over phonics and reading instruction has flared again. The reporters covering the debate often make it sound as though all researchers and teachers are on either one side or the other, with no common ground. The voices most active on Twitter and other social media platforms add to the sense of a deep divide. Inaccurate, exaggerated portrayals of what each side believes go something like this:
• Those who support balanced literacy believe that if you surround students with books and let them choose what to read and write, they will naturally become readers and writers. The teacher’s role is to be a guide-on-the-side.
• Those on “the other side” believe that children learn to read with systematic and explicit phonics, and that students should read and write about content that the teacher and/or curriculum dictate. The teacher’s role is to be a sage-on-the-stage, building core knowledge and skills.

As someone who is in classrooms and working with teachers daily, I can tell you that most teachers tune out the drama, and their instruction is built on best practices in the “sane middle” of the research debate. That’s a good thing. I admit, though, that following the heated literacy conversations online became a favorite pastime as I drafted this book, and participating in some of the discussions deepened my thinking. I’ve talked with people who think like me, as well as people who think differently. It compelled me to read research and professional texts on all sides of this conversation, and overall I have learned a great deal and have revised my own teaching in significant ways.

Perhaps it’s my middle-child position that yearns for consensus, but I often wonder what would happen if smart, earnest educators with different experiences and opinions came together around the table with the only “side” being the side of students. How different are these supposed sides? What points of agreement could we find? For me, researchers Gough and Tunmer’s “Simple View of Reading” (1986) was the biggest discovery of common ground. Their Simple View of Reading is this mathematical formula based on scientific research.
CHAPTER 3

How is Dyslexia Diagnosed?

With the Simple View of Reading formula, Gough and Tunmer make the case that strong reading comprehension cannot occur unless both decoding skills and language comprehension skills are strong. So in order to produce skillful readers, teachers need to provide separate instruction in both decoding and language comprehension.

Back in 2001, researcher Hollis Scarborough envisioned Gough and Tunmer’s Simple View of Reading formula as a rope. (See the annotated graphic, The Strands of Skilled Reading.) It has become ubiquitous on social media now, because it helps us envision the many interwoven strands needed to read skillfully. As I kept seeing this graphic and thinking about it, I had an “aha” moment when I realized that teachers would benefit from a similar, simple model for writing.

THE STRANDS OF SKILLED READING

SKILLED READING:
Fluent execution and coordination of word recognition and text comprehension.

- If any part of this complex “rope” is not present or insufficiently developed, reading will be impaired.

- Many different, separate components are necessary for skilled reading. For skillful readers, these components eventually become tightly “woven” together, used by the reading brain in ways that are increasingly strategic.

Taking Cues from the Simple View of Writing

I am not the first to consider what a Simple View of Writing model might look like. Berninger et al. (2002) created a model that consisted of two strands: “Transcription” and “Ideation.” Transcription is about getting your words on the page. Transcription includes skills such as spelling, punctuation, and handwriting. Ideation is the process of getting your ideas onto the page. Ideation includes things such as knowledge of genre, grammar, and vocabulary. The assertion, just as in the Simple View of Reading, was that teachers should provide separate instruction within both of these strands.

Tom Nicholson more recently (2019) devised what he calls a “Not So Simple View of Writing.” His model consists of three, not two, strands: “Transcription,” “Idea Generation,” and “Metacognitive Skills.” For a writer, these metacognitive skills include things such as goal-setting, going through steps of the process (planning, reviewing, and revising), and the ability to pay attention and focus.

The “Not So Simple View of Writing” has three strands—Transcription, Idea Generation, and Metacognitive Skills.
The “We-Do” Writing Model

Versions of the simple views of reading and writing have been around for years, but they haven’t been translated into classroom practice. It is for this reason that I leaned on the research of these frameworks to design “We-Do” writing, so that all students get the supported practice they need to become independent writers. The model that I share harnesses the power of 3: There are 3 instructional strands, 3 corresponding types of writing sessions, and 3 levels of support for students. The model focuses writing instruction on what matters most, and it includes a robust word study/phonics component.

This model complements any writing curriculum you might be using and it is research-based. It’s also crafted from years of supporting teachers in countless classrooms. My greatest hope is that it serves as a simple tool to teach a complex act. Let’s look now at the three strands that bring great focus to your lessons.

The model focuses writing instruction on what matters most, and it includes a robust word study/phonics component.
Three Instructional Strands

- Language Conventions
- Language Composition
- Application

Three Corresponding Types of Writing Sessions

- Interactive Writing
- Write-Aloud
- Writing Process

Three Levels of Support Within Each Strand

- Share
- Guide
- Apply
Three Instructional Strands

Language Conventions
Using language conventions is the process of getting print onto the page. It includes:

- Encoding, which is the process of using letter/sound correspondence and spelling knowledge to write
- High-frequency words, which are words that are used often and do not follow common spelling or sound patterns
- Conventions, which includes skills such as capitalization, punctuation, and handwriting

Language Composition
Language composition is the process of composing out loud. This consists of:

- Using correct and increasingly sophisticated aspects of grammar (at both the sentence and genre level)
- Using correct and increasingly sophisticated vocabulary (at both the sentence and genre level)

Deliberately planning instruction with these three strands helps teachers to control when and how students will learn.
Application
The third strand of “We-Do” writing, the application strand, is one that I believe is necessary in both the reading and writing processes (even though it is not part of the Simple View of Reading). For the purposes of this book, I will focus on how it relates to the writing process.

What I mean by application is that right from the start we provide instruction, showing students how to write, using metacognitive skills to go through the steps of the process. While the class is going through the steps of the process, they are applying what they have learned about language conventions and language composition. The application strand provides the big picture of writing’s purpose for students; whereas the other two strands home in on the details. The application strand can be used with learners of all ages. It is successful because it provides learners with a context for how the wholes and the parts fit together.

The application process consists of:

• Going through the writing process
• Applying language conventions and language composition at the same time

Benefits for Writers
Deliberately planning instruction with these three strands helps teachers to control when and how students will learn, thus preventing or limiting cognitive overload. This focus helps us to be systematic about what we teach. Over time, these three strands weave together and support students in:

• Developing automaticity when encoding a message onto the page
• Skillfully crafting eloquent and precise text
• Using the writing process effectively and efficiently
• Writing for authentic purposes/audiences
Three Types of Writing Sessions

The three instructional strands in “We-Do” writing are put into action with three types of writing sessions. In each of these sessions, teachers work collaboratively with students giving them supported, intentional practice.

Interactive Writing
Interactive writing is a type of collaborative session in which the teacher and students share the pen to write a message.

Andrea McCarrier, Gay Su Pinnell, and Irene C. Fountas detail this type of collaborative writing in their book entitled, Interactive Writing (2000). In this book, interactive writing serves mainly as a time for students to practice and apply what has currently been taught during word study/phonics and conventions instruction. You will learn more about interactive writing in Chapters 4 and 5.

Write-Aloud
Write-aloud is another type of collaborative session that develops students’ literary and academic language.

This practice builds on MacLeod and Bodner’s research around the “production effect” in memory. Their research found that saying something out loud yields substantial memory improvement (Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2017). During write-aloud, students and teachers work together composing pieces out loud across many genres. Together, they formulate sentences that are grammatically correct and complicated, and then they practice those sentences out loud as a group, as well as in partnerships. You will learn more about write-aloud in Chapters 6 and 7.
Writing Process
This type of collaborative writing helps students apply language conventions and language composition as they take a piece of writing through an abbreviated writing process.

Research has shown that part of becoming an effective writer is the ability to think carefully about the purpose of your writing, plan what it is that you want to say, and then ensure that your reader is able to understand your message (Graham et al., 2012).

During writing process sessions, the teacher and students work together quickly and efficiently to take their writing through the steps of the writing process. This is typically done on shorter pieces of writing. You will learn more about writing process sessions in Chapters 8 and 9.
Three Levels of Support

As you plan any writing lesson, you want to take a moment to ask yourself, What are these writers’ current needs? What formative assessment information can I look at to guide me? How do I angle this lesson so it’s most effective for most learners? Put simply, your decisions come down to whether you are going to share the work, guide students to try it on their own, or have them apply it to new topics on their own. All three are different levels of support. Here’s how I define them:

• **Share**: Teacher and students do the work together.
• **Guide**: Groups of students try the work within a shared topic while the teacher supports.
• **Apply**: With teacher support, students integrate what they have learned into new topics/genres.

Differentiating Instruction

Students need varying amount of support during any kind of shared writing. In order to avoid over-scaffolding your students, make sure to:

1. Determine if students need support.
2. Catch yourself if you find yourself talking a lot, because you want the students to be practicing, talking, and asking questions.
3. Give students the least amount of support they need in order to be successful.
4. Be mindful to gradually decrease the amount of support you provide.
5. Keep the goal of independence at the forefront of your lessons.
6. Don’t forget to support your most independent writers with enrichment.
**Word Study/Phonics for Writers**

The “We-Do” model includes a strong word study/phonics strand. Why? Because word knowledge is the secret weapon of writers. Without it, everything falls apart.

The Regions of the Brain Associated with Reading illustration shows key contributions. The Phonological Processor and Orthographic Processor regions are typically intact. Brain scans have shown that the Phonological Assembly region, the part that connects letters to sounds, is not fully assembled and must be built through successive instructional experiences (American Psychological Association, 2014; Hruby & Goswami, 2011; Shaywitz & Shaywitz, 2004; Shaywitz & Shaywitz, 2008). Word study/phonics is an important way to build this region.

Word study needs a stronger presence in writing instruction. Giving word study more time helps students develop letter/sound connections. Although there is not an MRI scan I know of related specifically to areas of the brain connected to writing, it stands to reason that developing letter/sound connections through word study strengthens writing as well as reading. So in my “We-Do” writing model, word study has a prominent place. This is a differentiator of my model compared to other writing models, which tend to address word study/phonics incidentally.

Word study/phonics supports children as they learn to encode and decode text. Although some students learn these skills naturally through reading and writing, most do not, and they need word study to be a part of their instructional day. When defining word study, Rasinski and Zutell (2010, p. 6) said, “Word study is the direct study and exploration of words. It refers to the study of foundational skills such as phonemic awareness, phonics, high-frequency words, spelling, handwriting, and vocabulary.”
Word Study/Phonics Supports Automaticity

A systematic word study/phonics program will not only ensure that all students have the foundational skills they need but that these skills move from being accurate to automatic; this will, therefore, free up cognitive space for deeper-level composition and comprehension skills. Students achieve automaticity by what David Kilpatrick calls orthographic mapping, which is the process we use to store printed words in our long-term memory. Kilpatrick (2015) also speaks about morphology using the meaning of words to help spell novel words as an important part of word study, especially for older students.

Word Study/Phonics Supports Students in Grades K–5

People often think of word study/phonics as something that is better suited for our youngest learners, but the reality is that most students in the upper grades also need it. Often, upper grade teachers will lament that their students don’t spell or punctuate correctly. They do it when I’m sitting next to them or remind them, but they don’t transfer this knowledge into their writing. Although these older students may be accurate at times, many have not developed the automaticity they need to be able to do it easily. They need more time to study and practice these skills.

Word Study/Phonics Requires Daily Instruction

Research hasn’t determined an optimum amount of time per day for word study instruction, but researchers such as Nell Duke (2019) have suggested about 45 minutes. That sounds like a lot, but keep in mind that a majority of word study should be in the practice phase, which can take place during interactive writing and writing process sessions. You can achieve this recommended amount by embedding word study throughout the content areas—throughout the school day. In other words, it can be delivered as brief, separate lessons as well as being touched upon within other subject areas.

TO READ MORE

Many of the teachers I work with lean on professional texts to support word study/conventions and grammar.

Word Study That Sticks
by Pam Koutrakos
(Corwin, 2019)

Spell It Out by Misty Adoniou
(Cambridge University Press, 2016)

Meaningful Phonics and Word Study
by Wiley Blevins
(PD Essentials, 2021)

Everyday Editing
by Jeff Anderson
(Stenhouse, 2007)

Letter Lessons and First Words
by Heidi Anne Mesmer
Edited by Nell Duke
https://www.aft.org/ae/winter2018-2019/duke_mesmer
These sessions may take place with the whole class, as well as with small groups and one-on-one conferences. Nell Duke also notes that different students will need varying amounts of practice. My general rule of thumb is that teachers should be doing around 20 to 30 minutes a day of whole-class word study instruction/practice and then giving students who need it more tailored practice in small groups and/or one-on-one.

**What’s Ahead**

Chapter 2 addresses the updated Gradual Release of Responsibility Model, and suggests a first phase of immersion.

Chapter 3 shows you how to get “We-Do” writing off and running in your classroom. This will make getting started easier for you.

Chapters 4–9 are devoted to the different writing sessions you will do with students—interactive writing, write-aloud, and writing process. For each type, there is a chapter that helps you plan and deliver whole-class shared sessions followed by a chapter on how to differentiate the sessions to maximize success.

“We need phonics to get words into our internal lexicons. But once those words are automatized phonics is no longer needed.”

—Timothy Rasinski, Ph.D. (2010)